Conditions and Constraints for Astrocyte Calcium Signaling in the Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Pathway
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SUMMARY

The spatiotemporal activities of astrocyte Ca^{2+} signaling in mature neuronal circuits remain unclear. We used genetically encoded Ca^{2+} and glutamate indicators as well as pharmacogenetic and electrical control of neurotransmitter release to explore astrocyte activity in the hippocampal mossy fiber pathway. Our data revealed numerous localized, spontaneous Ca^{2+} signals in astrocyte branches and territories, but these were not driven by neuronal activity or glutamate. Moreover, evoked astrocyte Ca^{2+} signaling changed linearly with the number of mossy fiber action potentials. Under these settings, astrocyte responses were global, suppressed by neurotransmitter clearance, and mediated by glutamate and GABA. Thus, astrocyte engagement in the fully developed mossy fiber pathway was slow and territorial, contrary to that frequently proposed for astrocytes within microcircuits. We show that astrocyte Ca^{2+} signaling functionally segregates large volumes of neuropil and that these transients are not suited for responding to, or regulating, single synapses in the mossy fiber pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Important progress has been made in understanding the roles of glia in the brain since their discovery over a century ago and following landmark physiological studies (Kuffler, 1967). Astrocytes (a subclass of glia) are known to display dynamic intracellular Ca^{2+} signals (Agulhon et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013), and it has recently been shown that astrocytes rapidly sense and regulate single synapses (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011). In these settings, astrocytes respond to single synapse glutamate release by exhibiting localized intracellular Ca^{2+} elevations in their main processes a few micrometers from the soma, implying that they are actively involved in microcircuit function (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011). However, abolishing one major form of intracellular Ca^{2+} signal within astrocytes was without obvious consequence for neuronal function (Agulhon et al., 2010; Fiacco et al., 2007; Petravicz et al., 2008). To understand these differences, one needs to explore when astrocytes become excited within neuronal circuits, but this has proven challenging. Unlike neurons, astrocytes are not electrically excitable and evaluations have had to rely on imaging. In particular, available imaging methods that use organic Ca^{2+} indicator dyes are not ideal for monitoring astrocyte branches, which are the primary sites for interactions with neurons (Reeves et al., 2011; Shigetomi et al., 2010, 2013a; Tong et al., 2013). As a result, the function of astrocyte Ca^{2+} signaling within neuronal circuits remains incompletely explored (Tong et al., 2013).

We have refined optical and genetic tools in order to study astrocyte branches and territories by building on recent progress with genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) (Tian et al., 2012). GECIs are not a panacea, and they have effects such as Ca^{2+} buffering that are shared with organic dyes. However, under most circumstances they do not obviously perturb neurons or astrocytes and are complementary to other approaches (Chen et al., 2013; Shigetomi et al., 2013a, 2013b; Tian et al., 2009; Zarivac et al., 2012).

To image cytosolic and near-membrane Ca^{2+}, we used astrocyte-specific expression of cytosolic GCaMP3 or membrane-targeted Lck-GCaMP3, respectively (Shigetomi et al., 2010, 2011, 2013a; Tian et al., 2009). To directly image astrocyte cell-surface glutamate signals, we used a genetically encoded glutamate sensor (Marvin et al., 2013) (iGluSnFR). We also used GCaMP6f, a recent GECI with kinetics similar to the organic Ca^{2+} indicator dye OGB1-AM (Chen et al., 2013). In order to drive neurotransmitter release selectively from the mossy fiber pathway, we generated novel BAC transgenic ‘‘SPRAE’’ mice that express a drug-activated ion channel within the mossy fiber pathway. Using these optical and pharmacogenetic tools, we explored astrocyte signaling in the circuit formed by the dentate gyrus granule cell projection (the mossy fiber pathway) to the...
CA3 region of the hippocampus (Amaral and Lavenex, 2007). We chose this circuit because mossy fibers are the only feed-forward excitatory input to the anatomically well-defined CA3 region of the stratum lucidum (s.l.) (Amaral and Lavenex, 2007; Ruíz and Kullmann, 2012; Spruston and McBain, 2007). Additionally, the anatomical relationship between mossy fibers and postsynaptic neuronal and astrocytic targets has been described by electron microscopy (Rollenhagen and Lübke, 2006; Rollenhagen et al., 2007; Wilke et al., 2013).

RESULTS

We deployed several imaging tools to study astrocytes located in the s.l. of the adult mouse hippocampus (~P70). The slices were ~300 μm thick, and the imaged astrocytes were located ~40 μm from the slice surface. The experiments were conducted at room temperature (~21°C) or close to mouse body temperature (34°C), as indicated. The imaging was performed using laser scanning confocal microscopy with a 40x objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.8. The effective pixel size was 0.2 x 0.2 μm, which is larger than the size of astrocyte branchlets at <100 nm.

GCaMP3 Reveals Stratum Lucidum Astrocyte Branches and Territories

We could not reliably load hippocampal astrocytes from adult mice with organic Ca²⁺ indicator dyes. By extending tool development work that showed GCaMP3 is well suited to study astrocytes from adult mice (Shigetomi et al., 2013a, 2013b), we used it, Lck-GCaMP3, and GCaMP6f to explore s.l. astrocyte intracellular Ca²⁺ signals. For selective expression within astrocytes, we used adeno-associated viruses of the 2/5 serotype (AAV 2/5) and the astrocyte-specific gfaABC1D promoter (Shigetomi et al., 2013a) (Figure 1). GECIs were innocuously expressed within large parts of s.l. astrocyte territories, including their branches. Furthermore, AAV2/5-mediated expression of fluorescent proteins does not alter spontaneous Ca²⁺ signals in astrocytes (Shigetomi et al., 2013a), which recalls and extends past work with neurons that reported little deleterious effect of GECI expression (Chen et al., 2013; Shigetomi et al., 2013b; Tian et al., 2009; Zariwala...
et al., 2012). All of the GECIs we used display Ca\(^{2+}\) affinities (~0.3 \(\mu M\)) similar to organic dyes often used to study astrocytes.

**Stratum Lucidum Astrocytes Display Slow Ca\(^{2+}\) Signals that Last Seconds**

High numbers of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals were observed within s.l. astrocytes expressing GCaMP3 (Movie S1 available online; Figures 2B–2D) (n = 13 astrocytes). Eleven ROIs from an astrocyte are shown in Figures 2A and 2C, illustrating that the soma was relatively silent and that numerous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals occurred in branches. Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in the somata and branches displayed similar amplitudes and second’s time scale kinetics.

To determine if fast Ca\(^{2+}\) signals existed in s.l. astrocytes, we used 200 Hz line scan imaging (Figure 2E; n = 10). A representative line scan lasting ~170 s is shown in Figure 2F, and a branch region is expanded and plotted as a trace (Figure 2G). Ca\(^{2+}\) signals were clearly seen; we repeated the analyses for ten astrocytes and measured transient properties in branches and somata (Figures 2H–2J). These data show that on average the fastest Ca\(^{2+}\) signals last ~3 s, which is consistent with the frame scan data (Figures 2B and 2C; Table S1). We also performed a specific set of experiments on single astrocytes to measure astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signals at room temperature and then at 34°C. In these pairwise comparisons, we noted...
significant changes in the properties of Ca^{2+} signals at warmer temperatures, including acceleration of kinetics in somata, as expected. However, overall the Ca^{2+} signals still lasted seconds (Table S2; n = 7).

Is it possible that fast Ca^{2+} signals were missed with GCaMP3? To address this, we repeated experiments shown in Figure 2 by using GCaMP6f, which displays rapid kinetics and high sensitivity (Chen et al., 2013). GCaMP6f displays larger peak fluorescence changes compared to GCaMP3 (Chen et al., 2013), and in accord, using GCaMP6f astrocyte, spontaneous Ca^{2+} signals were 3-fold larger, and we observed twice as many ROIs with Ca^{2+} signals in the branches (Table S1; n = 14). However, the Ca^{2+} signals detected by GCaMP6f still lasted several seconds (Table S1).

It is conceivable that cytosolic GECIs may miss Ca^{2+} signals in fine processes. To explore this, we used Lck-GCaMP3, which better reports near-membrane Ca^{2+} signals within fine processes (Shigetomi et al., 2013a). However, we found that expression of Lck-GCaMP3 within s.l. astrocytes reported fewer spontaneous Ca^{2+} signals than GCaMP3 (Table S1; n = 12), even though it was expressed within territory areas larger than GCaMP3 (Figure 1C). These data indicate that s.l. astrocytes do not display a significant number of near-membrane Ca^{2+} signals in fine processes. We further explored these possibilities in subsequent experiments, but taken together, these data suggest that s.l. astrocytes are different from stratum radiatum (s.r.) astrocytes (Shigetomi et al., 2013b) in that fewer signals are mediated by near-membrane Ca^{2+} dynamics in the s.l. region. This implies diverse astrocyte properties and functions within subfields of the hippocampus.

**Stratum Lucidum Astrocyte Ca^{2+} Signals Are Not Due to mGluRs, NMDARs, or Action Potential Firing**

Given the tight association of astrocyte branches and excitatory synapses in the s.l. region (Rollenhagen et al., 2007), we determined if astrocyte Ca^{2+} signals (Figure 2) were driven by release of glutamate, either tonically or that evoked by action potential firing. We found that application of 0.5 μM tetrodotoxin (TTX), to block action potentials, produced no statistically significant change in Ca^{2+} signal dF/F, frequency, or kinetics in somata or branches (Figure 3A; average data in Figures S1A and S1B;
n = 10). Moreover, we found that spontaneous somatic and branch Ca2+ signal amplitudes, frequency, and kinetics were not significantly reduced by mGluR5, mGluR2/3, or NMDA receptor antagonists (50 μM MPEP, 10 μM LY341495, and 50 μM APV, respectively) (Figures 3B, 3C, 3D, and S1C–S1H; n = 10 in each case). These reagents also did not markedly change the basal fluorescence of the astrocytes (Figures S1I–S1L). However, depletion of intracellular Ca2+ stores with 20 μM cyclopiazonic acid (CPA) for 25 min significantly (>70%) reduced the numbers of Ca2+ signals in astrocyte somata and branches, thus pointing to their intracellular origin (Figure 3E; Figures S2A and S2B; n = 5). As expected, CPA significantly elevated the basal fluorescence of astrocytes (Figure S1M). We next used IP3R2 knockout mice (Agulhon et al., 2010; Petravicz et al., 2008) to explore the role of this channel in controlling release of Ca2+ from stores. Recalling the CPA data, we found that the IP3R2 KO mice displayed significantly reduced numbers of Ca2+ signals compared to wild-type (WT) littermates (by ~60%) (Figure 3F; Figures S2C and S2D; n = 9 cells).

Unexpectedly, the use of GECCIs in the IP3R2 KO mice revealed residual Ca2+ signals in s.i. astrocyte somata and mainly in branches (Figures S2C and S2D). Thus, although Ca2+ signals are reduced in number in the IP3R2 KO mice, they are not abolished when GECCIs are deployed to image entire astrocyte territories. Interestingly, the residual Ca2+ signals in s.i. astrocytes were not blocked by HC 030031 (Figures S2E and S2F; n = 8), a selective antagonist for TRPA1 channels. In contrast, functional TRPA1 channels are expressed and mediate significant Ca2+ signals within s.r. astrocytes (Shigetomi et al., 2013b).

**SPRAE Mice Selectively Expressing a Drug-Activated Cation Channel in Mossy Fibers**

We considered it important to selectively drive glutamate release from mossy fiber terminals in order to explore astrocyte signaling. As part of an unrelated project (Richier et al., 2008), we created BAC transgenic mice expressing fluorescently tagged P2X2 receptors (P2X2-YC; YC is Yellow Cameleon 3.1) (Figures S3A–S3C) within mossy fibers, prompting us to characterize and exploit this novel pharmacogenetic tool. P2X2 receptors are cell-surface ATP-gated cation channels that can be activated by synthetic ATP congeners (e.g., ATPgS). P2X2 receptors enter presynaptic terminals and axons, where their activation increases neurotransmitter release (Khakh and North, 2012). In light of this, we dubbed the mice with mossy fiber expression as “SPRAE” mice, an acronym for Selective P2X Receptor Axoterminal Excitation. As reported below, their activation triggered neurotransmitter release from mossy fibers, “spraying” the s.i. region with glutamate.

We found remarkably specific P2X2-YC expression within mossy fibers of SPRAE mice (Figure 4A), but not in WT mice (Figures S3D and S3E; n = 5). Striking expression was observed in the mossy fiber pathway, particularly within the terminal regions located in the s.i. (Figures S3G–S3I). We also evaluated the basic properties of granule cells from SPRAE mice in comparison to WT littermates and found no obvious differences (Figures S4A and S4B; n = 15–31). However, despite the absence of detectable P2X2-YC immunostaining within granule cell bodies, we did detect small somatic P2X2-YC-mediated currents in SPRAE mice (Figures S4C and S4D; n = 15–23; Figures S4E and S4F; n = 5 and 7). Overall, SPRAE mice display P2X2-YC expression in the mossy fibers, a feature we exploited to drive neurotransmitter release.

**Astrocytes Display Prolonged Ca2+ Signals when Release from Mossy Fibers Is Increased**

The combination of our findings with GCaMPs and SPRAE mice (Figures 1–4) presented an opportunity to directly determine if s.i. astrocytes are Ca2+ signals when neurotransmitter release from mossy fibers is increased. We microinjected AAV2/5 for GCaMP3 into WT and SPRAE mice and monitored Ca2+ signals in s.i. astrocytes before, during, and after ATPγS applications to increase mossy fiber neurotransmitter release (Figures 5C and 5D). During control periods in both WT and SPRAE mice, we detected equivalent Ca2+ signals (Figures 5E and 5F), and as expected for WT mice (Figure 4), ATPγS produced no effect (Figures 5C and 5E; n = 9 cells). If astrocytes respond to quantal-like glutamate release, we would expect to observe more or prolonged Ca2+ signals in SPRAE mice during ATPγS applications. We found that ATPγS did not significantly increase astrocyte Ca2+ signal frequency or...
Figure 4. Activation of P2X2-YC Channels in the Mossy Fiber Pathway of SPRAE Mice Increases Glutamate Release onto CA3 Pyramidal Neurons

(A) P2X2-YC expression (green), from anti-GFP primary antibody and Alexa 488-conjugated secondary antibody, in the mossy fiber (MF) pathway. DG indicates the dentate gyrus, GC indicates granule cells, and pyr indicates the pyramidal cell layer.

(B) Five 1 s traces superimposed showing mEPSCs recorded from a CA3 pyramidal neuron from a WT mouse before, during, and after 100 μM ATPgS application.

(C) As in (B), but for recordings from SPRAE homozygous mice.

(D) Quantification of experiments such as those shown in (B) and (C). The y axis plots the approximate number of quanta in 2 s bins. (Qmin was measured from mEPSCs before the application of ATPgS, Qnoise was measured from silent periods, and Qtotal was measured in 2 s bins.)

(E) Traces and graphs show an exemplar neuron, showing an increase in frequency in the presence of ATPgS, but no increase in amplitude. Measuring all mEPSCs in 7/11 cells showed no increase in mEPSC amplitude (F; paired t test, p < 0.05), whereas frequency was increased (G; paired t test, p < 0.01). Experiments for CA3 interneurons are reported in Figure S5. Average data are shown as mean ± SEM.
amplitude (Figures 5D and 5F; n = 10). However, ATP$_7$S resulted in prolonged Ca$^{2+}$ signals in ~50% of ROIs (Figure 5D), and this effect was statistically significant across all ROIs for SPRAE mice (Figure 5F; p < 0.01). The prolonged ATP$_7$S-evoked events in SPRAE mice were significantly reduced in frequency in slices treated with CPA (Figure S7A; n = 7), implying store-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ release. Given that ATP$_7$S in SPRAE mice reliably elevates quantal-like glutamate release onto two neuronal targets of mossy fibers and broadly into the neuropil, this result provides evidence that high rates of glutamate release from mossy fiber terminals resulted in prolonged store-mediated astrocyte Ca$^{2+}$ signals, which lasted up to 13 s (Figure 5F). This could happen because astrocytes respond to ambient levels of transmitter or to more frequent quantal-like events that result in the appearance of prolonged signals. In future work, these possibilities may be fruitfully explored with kinetic modeling when all the necessary parameters are known (Rusakov et al., 2011).

Astrocyte Cell Surface Glutamate Imaging with iGluSnFR in SPRAE Mice

Increasing neurotransmitter release from mossy fiber terminals caused prolonged Ca$^{2+}$ signals in astrocyte somata and branches (Figure 5). To explore this, we used a genetically encoded glutamate sensor (Marvin et al., 2013) called iGluSnFR, expressed within
Astrocytes. The glutamate affinity of iGluSnFR at \( \sim 5 \mu M \) (Figures S8A–S8C; \( n = 9 \)) is in the range of the EC\(_{50} \) values for mGluRs, and therefore, it is a good indicator of whether or not mGluRs would be activated by the concentration of glutamate that reaches the astrocyte membrane. We made several observations with iGluSnFR. First, it was robustly expressed on the surface of astrocytes in the s.i. (Figure 6D). Second, we did not detect spontaneous iGluSnFR fluorescence increases in astrocytes from WT or SPRAE mice (Figures S8E–S8G; \( n = 24, 18 \)), extending data in Figure 3. Third, in every astrocyte examined in SPRAE mice, we measured robust increases in iGluSnFR fluorescence over astrocyte territories in response to ATP\(_7\)S applications (Figure 5G; Figures S8E–S8G; \( n = 18 \)). Similar responses were never observed in WT mice (Figure 5G; Figures S8E–S8G; \( n = 24 \)).

These data provide direct evidence that micromolar glutamate is released from the mossy fibers onto astrocytes. Glutamate release is expected to be pulsatile from the mossy fibers themselves, but is it ambient or pulsatile in relation to much larger astrocyte territories that are distanced from release sites? Our data with biosensor electrodes show that glutamate levels are elevated within large areas of neuropil equivalent to whole astrocytes in SPRAE mice during ATP\(_7\)S applications (Figure S6). In accord, our modeling of the kinetics of iGluSnFR also indicate that the baseline increase shown in Figure 9C represents an ambient increase in glutamate (Supplementary Note 1).

**Astrocytes Display Ca\(^{2+}\) Signaling during Electrical Field Stimulation**

To explore neurotransmitter mechanisms, we used local electrical field stimulation (EFS) with a glass microelectrode to evoke APs in the mossy fibers while imaging s.i. astrocytes. We found that single stimuli failed to evoke GCaMP3-observed Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in astrocyte branches or somata (Figures 6A–6C; \( n = 9–24 \)) and that two stimuli were the minimum required to observe significant Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Figures 6A and 6B; \( n = 9–24 \)). Robust responses were seen for eight stimuli, and the relationship between stimulus number and somatic Ca\(^{2+}\) signals leveled off at 15 stimuli (Figure 6C; \( n = 9–24 \)). By analyzing data for somata and branches separately, we found that the largest Ca\(^{2+}\) signals were seen for somata and that the relationship between EFS and branch Ca\(^{2+}\) signals was approximately linear (Figure 6C; \( n = 9–24 \)). This linearity was due to an EFS-dependent increase in the occurrence of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, which we measured as success rate (in %) in Figures 6A and 6B). To determine if one stimulus did in fact release glutamate onto astrocyte branches, we performed parallel experiments with iGluSnFR. We found that the relationship between astrocyte iGluSnFR signals and EFS was different to that observed for Ca\(^{2+}\) signals measured with GCaMP3 (Figures 6D and 6E; \( n = 6 \)). First, one stimulus was sufficient to evoke iGluSnFR signals, but not Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, which required at least two stimuli (a in Figure 6E). Second, the relationship between stimulus number and Ca\(^{2+}\) signals was approximately linear (b in Figure 6E). Third, during brief trains when astrocytes responded reliably to local EFS with iGluSnFR and Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, they did so quite globally, covering large areas of the astrocyte territory (e.g., eight stimuli; Figures 6F–6H).

We also performed a series of experiments to compare astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to 1 and 15 EFS (Figure 7). Thus, we found that astrocytes from IP3R2 KO mice expressing GCaMP3 failed to respond to 1 or 15 EFS (Figures 7A and 7E; \( n = 9 \)). Moreover, even with GCaMP6f we failed to detect astrocyte responses to 1 EFS, whereas 15 EFS worked reliably (Figures 7C and 7E; \( n = 9 \)). We next used membrane-targeted Lck-GCaMP3 to explore the possibility that cytosolic GCaMP3 may have missed signals in near-membrane regions of processes. We found no evidence for any Lck-GCaMP3-detectable Ca\(^{2+}\) signals as a result of one EFS (Figures 7D and 7E; \( n = 11 \)). Interestingly, using Lck-GCaMP3, we found that astrocyte reliability to 15 EFS was significantly reduced from 100% with GCaMP3 (Figures 6A and 6B) to 27% with Lck-GCaMP3 (Figure 7D; \( n = 3 \) of 11 cells; \( p < 0.01 \) using unpaired Fisher’s exact test). These data are consistent with those reported earlier (Table S1) and indicate that the majority of the spontaneous and EFS-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in s.i. astrocytes are mediated by intracellular stores. Thus overall, a variety of approaches (Figures 6 and 7) show that astrocyte branches “see” glutamate released following one EFS but that they do not detectably respond with an elevation of Ca\(^{2+}\) until bursts of stimuli are used. The s.i. astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) response to a single stimulus is undetectable with the full range of the methods that we employed or it does not exist.

**Astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) Signaling during Action Potential Bursts Is Due to Glutamate and GABA**

Having established that bursts of 8 and 15 stimuli evoke robust astrocyte GCaMP3-observed Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, we next explored the underlying neurotransmitter mechanisms by focusing on the branches (i.e., the sites of interaction with mossy fiber terminals). We used a two-pulse protocol, whereby 8 or 15 stimuli were applied twice to the mossy fibers, 8 min apart. This gave reproducible responses (Figures 8A and 8E; \( n = 6 \)), and inter-pulse application of TTX (0.5 \( \mu M \)) abolished the second response (Figures 8B and 8E; \( n = 6 \)). Because mossy fibers release glutamate onto astrocytes (Figures 6D and 6G) and because mature astrocytes express mGlurR2/3 receptors (Sun et al., 2013), we began by applying the mGlurR2/3 antagonist LY341495 (10 \( \mu M \)) and found that it significantly reduced EFS-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses in astrocyte branches (Figures 8C and 8E; \( n = 7 \)). However, even in the presence of LY341495, a residual EFS-evoked response persisted and was larger than that in the presence of TTX (\( p < 0.05 \) using Dunnett’s ANOVA). This prompted us to explore a role for mGlur5 receptors: we found that a combination of LY341495 (10 \( \mu M \)) and MPEP (50 \( \mu M \)) did not reduce the responses any more than LY341495 alone (Figure 8E; \( n = 7 \)). Taken together, these data suggest that glutamate release from mossy fibers evokes Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in astrocyte branches; \( \sim 50\% \) are controlled via mGlur2/3 (Sun et al., 2013), with mGlur5 having a negligible contribution.

A variety of approaches show that mossy fibers also contain the molecular machinery to release GABA (Caiati, 2013; Gutierrez, 2005; Walker et al., 2002), and ATP is thought to be released from nerve terminals in many CNS areas. In light of these facts, we evaluated if the residual response in the presence of LY341495 (Figures 8C and 8E) was mediated by P2Y or GABA\(_{\beta}\) receptors that are known to elevate astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in other areas of the hippocampus. We found that application of the P2Y1 antagonist MRS2179 (30 \( \mu M \)) was without effect.
Figure 6. EFS Evokes Ca²⁺ Signaling in Astrocytes during Bursts of Stimuli

(A) GCaMP3 traces from astrocyte somata located in the s.l. region during local EFS of the mossy fiber pathway. The red traces are averages of the individual black traces. Signals were seen only for greater than two stimuli. The numbers above each set of traces indicate the success rate for each stimulus.

(B) As in (A), but for traces from branch ROIs; blue is an average of the individual black traces.

(C) Summary graph from experiments such as those shown in (A) and (B).

(D) The graph shows the increase in cell surface iGluSnFR fluorescence as a function of the number of local stimuli delivered to the mossy fiber terminals with EFS (n = 7–12).

(E) Plots the branchlet signals for Ca²⁺ and iGluSnFR: note the two plots do not overlap. In this plot, a indicates a threshold shift and b indicates that the relationship between Ca²⁺ signals in astrocyte branches and the number of stimuli was approximately linear.

(F) Plots the area of the astrocyte Ca²⁺ signals as a function of EFS stimuli and in relation to the territory of an s.l. astrocyte (Figure 1C).

(G) As in (F), but for iGluSnFR signals.

(H) Image of an s.l. astrocyte expressing GCaMP3 taken at the peak of 15 stimuli, showing Ca²⁺ elevation in most of its territory. Average data are shown as mean ±SEM.
However, we found that application of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist CGP52432 (10 μM) together with LY341495 reduced the EFS-evoked responses to levels below those observed with LY341495 alone (Figures 8D and 8E; n = 7). This was more clearly seen from ANOVA tests; in the presence of CGP52432 and LY341495, the EFS-evoked response was not statistically different to that in the presence of TTX (p > 0.05, Dunnett’s ANOVA). Overall, these data indicate that astrocytes respond to glutamate and GABA release from mossy fiber terminals via mGluR2/3 and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors, revealing a hitherto unknown potential physiological role for mossy fiber GABA release within this circuit. Consistent with this result, mGluR2/3 and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists elevated Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels in s.l. astrocytes branches (in TTX), whereas an agonist of mGluR5 receptors did not (Figures 8F and 8G). Finally, in the SPRAE mice, a combination of CGP52432 and LY341495 also significantly reduced the number, peak amplitude, and duration of prolonged Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals triggered by ATP<sub>G</sub> applications (Figure S7B; n = 6).

**Astrocyte Ca<sup>2+</sup> Signaling in the Mossy Fiber Pathway Is Gated by Glutamate Clearance**

Hippocampal astrocytes express high levels of GLT-1 and lower levels of GLAST glutamate transporters (Regan et al., 2007; Rothstein et al., 1996). In order to explore roles for glutamate clearance we applied the specific GLT-1/GLAST blocker TFBTBOA (Huang et al., 2004) (300 nM) and found that it significantly increased EFS-evoked Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals and duration in astrocyte branches (Figure 9A). These data provide further evidence that EFS-evoked astrocyte Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals are mediated by glutamate (Figure 8) acting via mGluR2/3 receptors in astrocyte branches that appear not to be saturated. To further explore astrocyte engagement in the mossy fiber circuit, and its relation to glutamate uptake (Huang et al., 2004), we applied TBOA and monitored spontaneous Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals in astrocyte branches, as well as astrocyte cell-surface glutamate signals with iGluSnFR (Figure 9). To our surprise, in the case of Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals, we found that TBOA significantly increased their duration and frequency (Figures 9B and 9D–9F). TBOA also significantly increased the baseline iGluSnFR fluorescence of astrocytes, implying increased glutamate in the neuropil (Figures 9C and 9G; Supplemental Information), and it caused the appearance of brief pulsatile glutamate transients that could be easily observed with iGluSnFR (Figures 9C and 9G–9I). Given...
that glutamate release does not normally contribute to spontaneous astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or iGluSnFR signals (Figures 3 and 5), these data in the presence of TBOA provide compelling evidence that astrocyte engagement within the mossy fiber pathway is tightly gated by glutamate transporters.

We next used electron microscopy to explore proximity between astrocyte branches and mossy fiber synapses. We compared proximity between astrocytes and postsynaptic densities in the s.l. and at classical synaptic spines in the s.r. We observed that the relationship between postsynaptic densities and astrocyte branches was different for these two regions of the hippocampus. For the s.l. region, astrocyte branchlets surrounded the body of the large mossy fiber terminals and were peripherally located in relation to those for s.r. synapses (Figures 8J and 8K). We found that the shortest distance between a PSD and the nearest branchlet was twice as long for the s.l. as compared to the s.r. (Figure 9L; n = 10, p < 0.05). In the simplest interpretation that is also supported by our physiological measurements (Figures 2–9), astrocyte branchlets are located peripherally at mossy fiber synapses (Figures 9K and 9L) and are perhaps too distanced to detect transmitter release resulting from single stimuli. Our electron microscopy recalls past work (Rollenhagen and Lübke, 2006; Rollenhagen et al., 2007; Wilke et al., 2013).

Figure 8. Electrical Field Stimulation Evokes Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Signals in Astrocytes that Are Mediated by Glutamate and GABA

(A) Traces show the protocol: two local EFS stimuli were delivered to the mossy fiber terminals 8 min apart.

(B) Application of TTX before the second EFS stimulation abolished the astrocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals.

(C) As in (B), but for applications of the mGluR2/3 receptor antagonist LY341495.

(D) As in (B), but for applications of the mGluR2/3 and GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor antagonists together (LY341495 and CGP52432).

(E) Summary bar graph for astrocyte branches from experiments such as those shown in (A)–(D), and for additional evaluations as indicated. The differences were analyzed using a Dunnett’s ANOVA test, whereby all the treatments were compared to the control 2nd stimulation response.

(F) Representative traces (black) and average data (red) for agonist evoked Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals in astrocyte branches. The area under the curve is shown in blue.

(G) Summary data for experiments such as those in (F).

Average data are shown as mean ±SEM.
Figure 9. Glutamate Clearance Regulates EFS-Evoked and Spontaneous Ca²⁺ Signals in Astrocytes

(A) Traces for EFS-evoked astrocyte Ca²⁺ signals in branches before and during applications of TBOA (0.3 μM). The bar graphs to the right show average data, indicating that TBOA increased and prolonged EFS-evoked signals (Mann-Whitney test, p < 0.05).

(B) Traces for spontaneous Ca²⁺ signals in astrocyte branches before and during TBOA.

(C) As in (B), but for iGluSnFR glutamate signals. Note in the presence of TBOA the baseline increases and iGluSnFR transients are observed.

(D–F) Quantification of experiments such as those shown in (B). Statistical comparisons were made with paired t tests and significance declared at p < 0.05.

(G–I) Bar graphs show quantification of experiments such as those shown in (C). Statistical comparisons were made using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test and significance declared at p < 0.05.

(legend continued on next page)
DISCUSSION

We explored when and how astrocytes display Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling using novel optical and genetic tools in a mature model circuit with well-defined anatomy. We made several observations that may be portentous of circuits in general and that contribute to our understanding of astrocyte signaling in the mossy fiber pathway.

New Insights and Their Relation to Past Work

First, our study explores the details of astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in the mossy fiber pathway, which is a crucial limb of the hippocampal trisynaptic circuit. Our data form the basis to explore how astrocytes are engaged within, and contribute to, the function of the hippocampal circuit. As such, our work extends insights from the dentate gyrus (Di Castro et al., 2011) and s.r. regions (Panatier et al., 2011). In particular, the similarities and differences are important to note, because they may be physiologically relevant to each hippocampal region.

Second, s.l. region astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signals can be studied using AAV2/5 viruses to express GCaMP3, which is an excellent GECI for studying astrocyte intracellular signals (Shigetomi et al., 2013a). Past experiments have reported Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in thick astrocyte branches a few micrometers from the soma in the dentate gyrus and s.r. (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011). However, by using GECIs, we observed a panorama of spontaneous and localized Ca\(^{2+}\) signals within almost entire astrocyte territories.

Third, astrocyte spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals were not driven by action potential firing or endogenous glutamate release, which is different to astrocytes in the dentate gyrus and s.r. (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011). We also did not detect spontaneous glutamate release onto astrocytes using iGluSnFR (Marvin et al., 2013), although we could readily measure neuronal-evoked glutamate release onto astrocytes. These observations are consistent with the fact that granule cells have strongly negative resting membrane potentials and low firing rates at rest (Ruiz and Kullmann, 2012). Moreover, these data also imply that glutamate release from s.l. astrocytes themselves is minimal.

Fourth, using transgenic SPRAE mice that allow the selective increase of neurotransmitter release from the mossy fibers, we found that astrocytes responded with prolonged Ca\(^{2+}\) signals that were significantly reduced by antagonists of mGluR2/3 and GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptors (and increased by agonists to them).

Fifth, astrocytes responded to synaptic glutamate release during electrical stimulation of the mossy fibers, but only during bursts of stimuli. Under these circumstances (i.e., bursts), astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signals covered large territory areas. This is different to astrocytes in the dentate gyrus and s.r. where astrocytes respond very locally to sparse action potentials and not via mGluR5 receptors, as in the s.r. of younger rats (Panatier et al., 2011). Our data are consistent with recent realizations that mGluR5 receptors are not expressed in adult astrocytes (Sun et al., 2013). Interestingly, a small component of the electrically evoked response was mediated by GABA acting at GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptors. This is relevant in the context of the mossy fiber pathway, because GABA is released from the mossy fibers (Caiati, 2013; Walker et al., 2002) and astrocytes express Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilizing GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptors (Kang et al., 1998).

Seventh, astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling was tightly gated by glutamate clearance. Thus, when glutamate uptake was blocked, we observed enhanced spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, enhanced iGluSnFR glutamate signals onto astrocytes, and elevated electrically evoked astrocyte responses. Our data do not simply show that glutamate transporters regulate spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. Rather, they unexpectedly show that glutamate transporters gate the engagement of astrocytes and spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. Glutamate transporters were not considered in past work (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011).

Eighth, by monitoring astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling and glutamate signals during electrical stimulation of the mossy fibers, we found that astrocytes sense glutamate reliably, even during a single stimulus to the mossy fibers, but translate this to Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling less efficiently. Moreover, when Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling is observed, it increases over entire territories.

Ninth, a study on the adult cortex showed that astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to whisker stimulation were partly mediated by synaptic release of glutamate acting on astrocyte mGluR5 receptors (Wang et al., 2006), whereas a recent study concluded that glutamatergic signaling is insufficient to trigger astrocyte Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling (Sun et al., 2013). Our data extend these findings by showing that s.l. astrocytes respond to synaptic glutamate release during bursts of activity or during high amounts of quantal-like release in SPRAE mice (via mGluR2/3 and GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptors). The use of GECIs may permit similar studies of other brain regions and prepare us for the possibility that astrocytes may have microcircuit specific properties, emphasizing the need for caution in generalizing from one brain region to another.

Tenth, and more broadly for evaluations of astrocytes throughout the brain, our studies provide a tool kit that is useful to explore physiological astrocyte responses in detail. As recently discussed (Li et al., 2013), these tools do not replace organic Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator dyes, but are demonstrably better for exploring astrocyte territories and branches.

Ca\(^{2+}\) Signal Properties and Kinetics

Using GCaMP3 imaging, we found evidence for numerous spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) signals throughout astrocytes. By pooling data across identical control conditions, our summary data show that Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in somata and branches display T\(_{0.5}\) values of...
4.4 ± 0.16 and 3.1 ± 0.04 s, respectively, when imaged by GCaMP3 (329 and 3,757 events from 65 cells). Ca2+ signals also lasted seconds (~3 s) when detected by GCaMP6f, and we found no evidence for faster Ca2+ signals. Interestingly, we observed fewer signals with Lck-GCaMP3, implying that Ca2+ signals in s.l. astrocytes are mainly intracellular in origin. In accord, the signals were significantly reduced in mice that lacked IP3R2s. Thus, differences exist between s.l. and s.r. astrocytes (Shigetomi et al., 2013a, 2013b), likely reflecting the existence of astrocyte heterogeneity in different hippocampal regions. Irrespectively, all the spontaneous signals we observed in s.l. astrocytes were slow, revealing fundamental constraints on how quickly astrocytes can track to neuronal input in this pathway. Moreover, our data showed that a statistically insignificant number of astrocyte spontaneous Ca2+ signals were due to action potential firing or endogenous glutamate release, which is in accord with the known electrical properties of the mossy fiber pathway (Ruiz and Kullmann, 2012).

A recent proposal is that astrocytes respond to and regulate single synapses in the dentate gyrus and s.r. (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011). A key line of evidence was the finding that astrocytes displayed localized Ca2+ signals when quantal release probability was elevated using high-osmotic-strength sucrose (Di Castro et al., 2011), implying that astrocytes respond during quantal-like glutamate release. Cognizant of these findings and their implications for the function of microcircuits, we took advantage of SPRAE mice generated in our laboratory. Activation of P2X2-YC channels with drug increased mEPSCs onto all CA3 pyramidal neurons, all s.l. interneurons, broadly into the s.r. neuropil, and resulted in prolonged astrocyte Ca2+ signals in SPRAE mice. The glutamate released from mossy fibers readily reached astrocyte branches, because we could detect it using iGluSnFR where it increased the duration of Ca2+ signals. More generally, SPRAE mice may be useful in future work to explore outstanding questions in mossy fiber physiology (Ruiz and Kullmann, 2012).

Can the kinetics of the Ca2+ signals we measured with GCaMP3, GCaMP6f, and Lck-GCaMP3 be directly compared to the kinetics of signals measured with organic Ca2+ dyes in other parts of the hippocampus (Di Castro et al., 2011; Panatier et al., 2011)? Although the affinities of the indicators employed are similar, we still suggest caution in this regard, because it is well established that the same Ca2+ dynamics will be reported differently by different Ca2+ indicators depending on their concentration and affinity. Hence, kinetic modeling is needed to explore precisely how astrocyte Ca2+ signals appear as observables with distinct imaging approaches. As recently highlighted, the field of astrocyte biophysics lags behind similar studies of neurons by decades (Rusakov et al., 2011). From this perspective, our work lays the foundations for future experimental and modeling work.

**Evoked Responses and Tight Gating of Astrocyte Engagement by Glutamate Transporters**

Using EFS of mossy fibers, we found that s.l. region astrocytes responded to bursts of stimuli rather than a single stimulus when we used GCaMP3 or GCaMP6f. This is reminiscent of past work (D’Ascenzo et al., 2007; Gordon et al., 2009). S.l. astrocytes responded unreliably to all examined forms of EFS when imaged using Lck-GCaMP3, which supports the view that the Ca2+ signals have an intracellular origin. Our use of iGluSnFR directly showed that single stimuli to the mossy fibers release sufficient amounts of glutamate to reach astrocyte branches, implying that s.l. astrocytes are ineffective postsynaptic responders to sparse action potentials. Moreover, with bursts of stimuli, astrocytes appear to respond proportionately to the number of stimuli applied to the mossy fibers, with increases in Ca2+ that covered entire territories and large areas of neuropil. This may be a powerful entrainment mechanism to control release of substances from astrocytes. On the basis of these data, we conclude that the physiological role of astrocyte Ca2+ signaling in the s.l. region is to respond to bursts of activity and functionally segregate large areas of neuropil over a time course of seconds. We suggest that the most likely consequence for neuronal circuit activity is increased local blood flow (Attwell et al., 2010); homeostatic regulation via release of slow neuromodulators such as adenosine, D-serine, and ions; and perhaps release of synaptogenic factors broadly in the vicinity of synapses that need them. In future work, these possibilities need to be systematically explored when methods to selectivity block and precisely mimic physiological astrocyte Ca2+ signals have been developed.

The receptors on s.l. region astrocyte branches that respond to neurotransmitter release from mossy fibers appear to be mGluR2/3 and GABAA receptors. Although mGluR2/3 and GABAA are coupled to G, G-proteins, the βγ-subunits mediate Ca2+ release from intracellular stores by activating phospholipase C directly or by interaction with IP3 receptors (Zeng et al., 2003). Interestingly, a previous study employing mGluR2/3 receptor agonists to study cortical astrocytes using bulk loading of Ca2+ indicator dyes was not able to evaluate Ca2+ signals in branches (Sun et al., 2013). Using GCaMP3, we were able to monitor astrocyte branches directly in adult brain slices and show that mGluR2/3 receptors mediate neuron-astrocyte functional interactions on the time scale of seconds and on distance scales of whole astrocyte territories (~1,500 μm²), implying astrocytes do not act as sensors of single synapses or sparse activity.

Finally, our data show that spontaneous and evoked astrocyte Ca2+ signaling is tightly gated by glutamate uptake via GLT-1 and GLAST glutamate transporters (Rothstein et al., 1996). Glutamate uptake may be expected to regulate astrocyte Ca2+ signals, but our data unexpectedly show that the function of glutamate transporters acts as a gate for spontaneous Ca2+ signals. Given that glutamate uptake is compromised in many brain disorders (Kanai et al., 2013), our data suggest that the contribution of glutamate-mediated astrocyte Ca2+ signaling for the function of neuronal circuits is likely to be particularly manifest during disease (Agulhon et al., 2012). This realization also suggests an explanation for why abolishing astrocyte intracellular-store-mediated Ca2+ signals was without any major consequence in healthy mice. If so, astrocyte roles need to be explored in disease models (Agulhon et al., 2012), and astrocytes may represent targets for therapeutic development to treat neurological and psychiatric disorders.
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Molecular Biology and Adenovirus (AAV 2/5) Generation
Viruses were made as described (Shigetomi et al., 2013a). Our virus constructs have been deposited at Addgene in the Khakh lab repository (http://www.addgene.org/Bajitt_Khakh/). The AAVs are also available from the UPenn Vector Core (http://www.med.upenn.edu/gtp/vectorcore).

Surgery and In Vivo Microinjections of AAV 2/5
Postnatal day 56 to 63 (P56–P63) male and female C57BL/6n or SPRAE mice were used in all experiments in accordance with institutional guidelines. All surgical procedures were conducted under general anesthesia using continuous isoflurane (induction at 5%; maintenance at 1%–2.5% vol/vo). Following induction of anesthesia, the mice were fitted into a stereotactic frame with their heads secured by blunt ear bars and their noses placed into an anesthesia and ventilation system (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga). Mice were administered 0.05 ml of buprenorphine (Buprenex; 0.1 mg/ml) subcutaneously prior to surgery. The surgical incision site was then cleaned three times with 10% povidone iodine and 70% ethanol. Skin incisions were made, followed by craniotomies of 2–3 mm in diameter above the left parietal cortex using a small steel burr (Fine Science Tools) powered by a high-speed drill (K.1070, Foredom). Saline (0.9%) was applied onto the skull to reduce heating caused by drilling. Unilateral viral injections were carried out by using a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments) to guide the placement of beveled glass pipettes (1B100-4, World Precision Instruments) into the left hippocampus (2 mm posterior to bregma; 2 mm lateral to midline; 2 mm from the pial surface). Either 2 µl of AAV2/5 gfaABC-D Lck-GCaMP3 (1.2 × 1013 gc/ml), 2 µl of AAV2/5 GfabcD-GluSNF (4.2 × 1012 gc/ml), 1.5µl of AAV2/5 gfaABC-D Lck-GFP (2.41 × 1013 gc/ml), 1.5 µl of AAVs gfaABC-D GCaMP6f (2.4 × 1013 gc/ml), or 1.5 µl of AAV2/5 gfaABC-D GCaMP3 (1.5 × 1012 gc/ml) was injected by using a syringe pump (Pump11 PicoPlus Elite, Harvard Apparatus). Glass pipettes were left in place for at least 10 min. Surgical wounds were closed with single external 6-0 nylon sutures. Following surgery, animals were allowed to recover overnight in cages placed partially on a low-voltage heating pad. Buprenorphine was administered two times per day for up to 2 days after surgery. In addition, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (40 and 200 mg, respectively, per 500 ml water) was dispensed in the drinking water for 1 week. Mice were sacrificed 14–20 days postsurgery for imaging (typically 14–16 days).

Mice
The generation of SPRAE mice is described in detail in the Supplementary Information. IP3R2 KO mice were obtained from Dr. Ju Chen at UCSD and maintained as a heterozygous line (Li et al., 2005). Homozygotes and WT littermates were used for experiments when they reached age P56–P80.

Preparation of Brain Slices and Ca2+ Imaging
Coronal slices of hippocampus (300 µm) were cut in solution comprising the following (in mM): 130 NaCl, 30 HEPES, and 5 Glucose, adjusted to pH 7.3 with NaOH and connected to a Grass S88 Stimulator via the Stimulus Isolator A360 (WP)). The tip of the electrode was placed in the mossy fiber pathway at 30–80 µm (usually 40–50 µm) distance from the astrocyte. Individual pulses were 1 ms in duration, and stimuli were delivered at 40 µA with 1, 2, 4, 8, or 15 pulses/s at a rate of 15 Hz. All imaging experiments with SPRAE mice were performed in the presence of TTX (0.5 µM) as well as MRS2179 (30 µM) and DPCPX (10 µM) to block P2Y1 and adenosine A1 receptors, respectively, on astrocytes (Figure S4H).

Electron Microscopy
Serial block-face scanning electron microscope (SBEM) volumes of mouse CA3 s.i. and CA1 stratum radiatum were used for analyzing astrocyte-PSD distances. The original data set was collected as part of a recent study (Wilke et al., 2013) but was reanalyzed here to specifically measure the distances. Briefly, a mouse (P14) was perfused with 2.5% glutaraldehyde/2.0% paraformaldehyde in cacodylate buffer, and the tissue was sectioned and stained for SBEM imaging as previously described (Deerinck et al., 2010). The IMOD software package was used to perform analysis of astrocyte branchlet-to-PSD distances (Kremer et al., 1996). For both s.i. and stratum radiatum, PSDs were initially marked with a point at their center. All astrocytic branchlets in the surrounding neuropil were manually segmented to generate 3D surfaces. The IMOD tool mtk was used to measure the distance from the PSD to each astrocyte surface.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes eight figures, two tables, four movies, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.041.
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